

ANNIHILATOR OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES AND STRUCTURE OF RINGS

Tran Nguyen An

TNU - University of Education

ABSTRACT

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, A an Artinian R -module, and M a finitely generated R -module. It is clear that $\text{Ann } R(M/\mathfrak{p}M) = \mathfrak{p}$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann } R M)$. Therefore, it is natural to consider the following dual property for annihilator of Artinian modules:

$$\text{Ann } R(0 : A \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}, \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann } R A). (*)$$

Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. Alexander Grothendieck showed that the local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ of M is Artinian. The property $(*)$ of local cohomology modules is closely related to the structure of the base ring. In this paper, we prove that for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R/\mathfrak{p})$ satisfies the property $(*)$ for all i , then $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is universally catenary and the formal fibre of R over $\mathfrak{p}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

Keywords: Local cohomology; universally catenary; formal fibre; Artinian module; Cohen-Macaulay ring

Received: 26/5/2020; Revised: 29/8/2020; Published: 04/9/2020

LINH HÓA TỬ CỦA MÔĐUN ĐỐI ĐỒNG ĐIỀU ĐỊA PHƯƠNG VÀ CẤU TRÚC VÀNH

Trần Nguyễn An

Trường Đại học Sư phạm - ĐH Thái Nguyên

TÓM TẮT

Cho $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ là vành Noether địa phương, A là R -môđun Artin, và M là R -môđun hữu hạn sinh. Ta có $\text{Ann } R(M/\mathfrak{p}M) = \mathfrak{p}$ với mọi $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann } R M)$. Do đó rất tự nhiên ta xét tính chất sau về linh hóa tử của môđun Artin

$$\text{Ann } R(0 : A \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann } R A). (*)$$

Cho $i \geq 0$ là số nguyên. Alexander Grothendieck đã chỉ ra rằng môđun đối đồng điều địa phương $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ là Artin. Tính chất $(*)$ của các môđun đối đồng điều địa phương liên hệ mật thiết với cấu trúc vành cơ sở. Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi chỉ ra với mỗi $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ mà $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R/\mathfrak{p})$ thỏa mãn tính chất $(*)$ với mọi i thì $R/\mathfrak{p}$ là catenary phổ dụng và các thớ hình thức của R trên $\mathfrak{p}$ là Cohen-Macaulay.

Từ khóa: Đối đồng điều địa phương; catenary phổ dụng; thớ hình thức; môđun Artin; vành Cohen-Macaulay

Ngày nhận bài: 26/5/2020; Ngày hoàn thiện: 29/8/2020; Ngày đăng: 04/9/2020

Email: antn@tnue.edu.vn

<https://doi.org/10.34238/tnu-jst.3194>

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, A an Artinian R -module, and M a finitely generated R -module of dimension d . For each ideal I of R , we denote by $\text{Var}(I)$ the set of all prime ideals containing I . For a subset T of $\text{Spec}(R)$, we denote by $\min(T)$ the set of all minimal elements of T under the inclusion.

It is clear that $\text{Ann}_R(M/\mathfrak{p}M) = \mathfrak{p}$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R M)$. Therefore, it is natural to consider the following dual property for annihilator of Artinian modules:

$$\text{Ann}_R(0 :_A \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}, \forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R A). (*)$$

If R is complete with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic topology, it follows by Matlis duality that the property $(*)$ is satisfied for all Artinian R -modules. However, there are Artinian modules which do not satisfy this property. For example, by [1, Example 4.4], the Artinian R -module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(R)$ does not satisfy the property $(*)$, where R is the Noetherian local domain of dimension 2 constructed by M. Ferrand and D. Raynaud [2] (see also [3, App. Ex. 2] Ex. 2]) such that its $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion $\widehat{R}$ has an associated prime $\mathfrak{q}$ of dimension 1. In [4], N. T. Cuong, L. T. Nhan and N. T. Dung showed that the top local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(M)$ satisfies property $(*)$ if and only if the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R(M/U_M(0))$ is catenary, where $U_M(0)$ is the largest submodule of M of dimension less than d . The property $(*)$ of local cohomology modules is closely related to the structure of the ring. In [5], L. T. Nhan and the author proved that if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property $(*)$ for all i , then $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is unmixed for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ and the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is universally catenary. The following conjecture was given by N. T. Cuong in his seminar.

Conjecture 1.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)$ satisfies the property $(*)$ for all i ;
- (ii) R is universally catenary and all its formal fibers are Cohen-Macaulay.

L. T. Nhan and T. D. M. Chau proved in [6] that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property $(*)$ for all i , for all finitely generated R -module M if and only if R is universally catenary and all its formal fibers are Cohen-Macaulay. The following result is the main result of this paper. We hope that we can use this to give a positive answer for the above conjecture.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R/\mathfrak{p})$ satisfies the property $(*)$ for all i . Then $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is universally catenary and the formal fibre of R over $\mathfrak{p}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*

2. Proof of the main results

The theory of secondary representation was introduced by I. G. Macdonald (see [7]) which is in some sense dual to that of primary decomposition for Noetherian modules. Note that every Artinian R -module A has a minimal secondary representation $A = A_1 + \dots + A_n$, where A_i is $\mathfrak{p}_i$ -secondary. The set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ is independent of the choice of the minimal secondary representation of A . This set is called *the set of attached prime ideals* of A , and denoted by $\text{Att}_R A$. Note also that A has a natural structure as an $\widehat{R}$ -module. With this structure, a subset of A is an R -submodule if and only if it is an $\widehat{R}$ -submodule of A . Therefore, A is an Artinian $\widehat{R}$ -module.

Lemma 2.1. (i) *The set of all minimal elements of $\text{Att}_R A$ is exactly the set of all minimal elements of $\text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R A)$.*

- (ii) $\text{Att}_R A = \{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R : \widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{\widehat{R}} A\}$.

R. N. Roberts introduced the concept of Krull dimension for Artinian modules (see [8]). D. Kirby changed the terminology of Roberts and referred to Noetherian dimension to avoid confusion with Krull dimension defined for finitely generated modules (see [9]). The Noetherian dimension of A is denoted by $\text{N-dim}_R(A)$. In this paper, we use the terminology of Kirby (see [9]).

Lemma 2.2 ([1]). (i) $\text{N-dim}_R(A) \leq \dim(R/\text{Ann}_R A)$, and the equality holds if A satisfies the property (*).

(ii) $\text{N-dim}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) \leq i$, for all i .

The following property of attached primes of the local cohomology under localization is known as Weak general Shifted Localization Principle (see [10]).

Lemma 2.3. We have $\text{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ is the subset of $\{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)), \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.

For an integer $i \geq 0$, following M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp (see [11]), the i -th pseudo support of M , denoted by $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$, is defined by the set

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R \mid H_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i-\dim R/\mathfrak{p}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}.$$

Note that the role of $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ for the Artinian R -module $A = H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ is in some sense similar to that of $\text{Supp } L$ for a finitely generated R -module L , cf. [11], [5]. Although, we always have $\text{Supp } L = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R L)$, but the analogous equality $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M) = \text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))$ is not valid in general. The following lemma gives a necessary and sufficient conditions for the above equality.

Lemma 2.4 ([5]). Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*).

(ii) $\text{Var}(\text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M))) = \text{Psupp}_R^i M$.

In particular, if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*) then

$$\min \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)) = \min \text{Psupp}_R^i M.$$

In 2010, N. T. Cuong, L. T. Nhan and N. T. K. Nga (see [12]) used pseudo support to describe the non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of M . Recall that M is *equidimensional* if $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = d$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \min(\text{Ass } M)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([12]). Suppose that M is equidimensional and the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is catenary. Then $\text{Psupp}_R^i(M)$ is closed for

$$i = 0, 1, d \text{ and } \text{nCM}(M) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \text{Psupp}_R^i(M),$$

where $\text{nCM}(M)$ is the Non Cohen-Macaulay locus of M .

Following M. Nagata ([3]), we say that M is *unmixed* if $\dim(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}) = d$ for all prime ideals $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass } \widehat{M}$, and M is *quasi unmixed* if $\widehat{M}$ is equidimensional. The next lemma show that the property (*) for the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ of levels $i < d$ is closed related to the universal catenaricity and unmixedness of certain local rings.

Lemma 2.6 ([5]). Assume that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M)$ satisfies the property (*) for all $i < d$. Then $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is unmixed for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } M$ and the ring $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is universally catenary.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from the Lemma 2.6 that $R/\mathfrak{p} = R/\text{Ann}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$ is universally catenary.

Set S to be the image of $R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ in $\widehat{R}$. We have

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_R \widehat{R} \cong S^{-1}(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R}).$$

We need to prove $(S^{-1}(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R}))_{S^{-1}\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \in \text{Spec}(\widehat{R})$ such

that $(\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \cap R) \cap S = \emptyset$. Assume that the statement is not true. Since

$$(S^{-1}(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}))_{S^{-1}\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}} \cong (\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}}$$

as $\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ -module, there exists $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \in \text{Spec}(\widehat{R})$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \cap S = \emptyset$ such that $(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. Then there exists $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{q}} \supseteq \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$, $(\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R) \cap S = \emptyset$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Min nCM}(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})$. Hence,

$$\text{nCM}((\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) = \{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}\}.$$

We have $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is unmixed by Lemma 2.6. So $\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}$ is equidimensional. Hence $(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is equidimensional. On the other hand, since $(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is the image of a Cohen-Macaulay ring, $(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is generalized Cohen-Macaulay.

Set $s = \dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R} = \text{ht}(\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})$. By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\text{nCM}(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{s-1} \text{Psupp}_{\widehat{R}}^i((\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}).$$

Therefore, there exists $i < s$ such that $H_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}^i(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}} \neq 0$. On the other hand,

$$\ell(H_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}^i(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\text{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}^i(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R})_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}) = \{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}\}.$$

It is followed by Weak general Shifted Localization Principle (Lemma 2.3) that $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}_{\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}}^{i+\dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}}(\widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R}))$. Set $j = i + \dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} j &< \text{ht } \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R} + \dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \dim \widehat{R}/\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}\widehat{R} \\ &= \dim R/\mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(R/\mathfrak{p}))$ by Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \text{N-dim } H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(R/\mathfrak{p}) &\leq j < \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \\ &\leq R/\text{Ann}_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(R/\mathfrak{p}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^j(R/\mathfrak{p})$ does not satisfy the property (*). It is in contradiction to the hypothesis. Therefore, all its formal fibers over $\mathfrak{p}$ are Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

3. Conclusion

The paper gives a relation between the property (*) of local cohomology module and structure of base ring. In detail, we prove that for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ such that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R/\mathfrak{p})$ satisfies the property (*) for all i , then $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is universally catenary and the formal fibre of R over $\mathfrak{p}$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

References

- [1]. C. T. Nguyen and N. T. Le, "On the Noetherian dimension of Artinian modules," *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 121-130, 2002.
- [2]. D. Ferrand and M. Raynaud, "Fibres formelles d'un anneau local Noetherian," *Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 295-311, 1970.
- [3]. M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [4]. C. T. Nguyen, D. T. Nguyen and N. T. Le, "Top local cohomology and the catenaricity of the unmixed support of a finitely generated module," *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1691-1701, 2007.
- [5]. N. T. Le and A. N. Tran, "On the unmixedness and the universal catenaricity of local rings and local cohomology modules," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 321, pp. 303-311, 2009.

- [6]. N. T. Le and C. D. M. Tran, "Noetherian dimension and co-localization of Artinian modules over local rings," *Algebra Colloquium*, vol. 21, pp. 663-670, 2014.
- [7]. I. G. Macdonald, "Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring," *Symposia Mathematica*, vol. 11, pp. 23-43, 1973.
- [8]. R. N. Roberts, "Krull dimension for Artinian modules over quasi local commutative rings," *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 269-273, 1975.
- [9]. D. Kirby, "Dimension and length of Artinian modules," *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 419-429, 1990.
- [10]. M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [11]. M. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, "On the dimension and multiplicity of local cohomology modules," *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, vol. 167, pp. 217-233, 2002.
- [12]. C. T. Nguyen, N. T. Le and N. K. T. Nguyen, "On pseudo supports and non-Cohen-Macaulay locus of finitely generated modules," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 323, pp. 3029-3038, 2010.