

ON INTERMEDIATE RINGS WHICH ARE FINITELY GENERATED MODULES OVER A NOETHERIAN RING

Nguyen Xuan Linh¹, Luu Phuong Thao^{2*}

¹National University of Civil Engineering

²TNU - University of Education

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: 07/01/2021	Let (R, m) be a commutative Noetherian ring and $Q(R)$ the total quotient ring of R . The aim of this paper is to study the structure of intermediate rings between R and $Q(R)$. Let X be the set of all equivalent classes $[I]$, where I is an ideal of R such that $I^2 = aI$ for some non zero divisor $a \in I$. Let Y be the set of all intermediate rings A between R and $Q(R)$ such that A is finitely generated R -modules. In this paper, we establish a bijection from X to Y . Some examples are given to clarify the result. Firstly, we show that if R is a principal ideal domain, then R is the unique element of Y . Secondly, we give a Buchsbaum ring R which is not Cohen-Macaulay and we construct a Cohen-Macaulay intermediate ring $A \in Y$. In order to solve the problem, we apply the method investigated by S. Goto in 1983, L. T. Nhan and M. Brodmann 2012.
Revised: 10/3/2021	
Published: 22/3/2021	
KEYWORDS	
Total quotient ring	
Intermediate ring	
Noetherian ring	
Cohen-Macaulay modules	
Intitely generated modules	

VỀ VÀNH TRUNG GIAN LÀ MÔĐUN HỮU HẠN SINH TRÊN VÀNH NOETHER

Nguyễn Xuân Linh¹, Lưu Phương Thảo^{2*}

¹Trường Đại học Xây dựng

²Trường Đại học Sư phạm - ĐH Thái Nguyên

THÔNG TIN BÀI BÁO	TÓM TẮT
Ngày nhận bài: 07/01/2021	Cho (R, m) là vành giao hoán Noether và $Q(R)$ là vành các thương toàn phần của R . Mục đích của bài báo này là nghiên cứu cấu trúc của các vành trung gian giữa R và $Q(R)$. Gọi X là tập tất cả các lớp tương đương $[I]$, trong đó I là ideal của R sao cho $I^2 = aI$ với $a \in I$ là phần tử không là ước của không trong R . Gọi Y là tập tất cả các vành trung gian A giữa R và $Q(R)$ sao cho A là R -môđun hữu hạn sinh. Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi thiết lập một song ánh từ X đến Y . Một số ví dụ được đưa ra để làm rõ kết quả. Thứ nhất, chúng tôi chỉ ra nếu R là một miền ideal chính thì R là phần tử duy nhất của Y . Thứ hai, cho một vành Buchsbaum R mà không là Cohen-Macaulay, chúng tôi xây dựng một vành trung gian Cohen-Macaulay $A \in Y$. Để giải quyết vấn đề, chúng tôi áp dụng phương pháp nghiên cứu của S. Goto năm 1983, L. T. Nhân và M. Brodmann 2012.
Ngày hoàn thiện: 10/3/2021	
Ngày đăng: 22/3/2021	
TỪ KHÓA	
Vành các thương toàn phần	
Vành trung gian	
Vành Noether	
Môđun Cohen-Macaulay	
Môđun hữu hạn sinh	

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.34238/tnu-jst.3888>

* Corresponding author. Email: thaolp@tnue.edu.vn

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let R be a commutative Noetherian ring. Denoted by $Q(R)$ the total quotient ring of R , i.e. $Q(R) = S^{-1}R$ is the ring of fractions of R with respect to the multiplicative closed subset S , where S is the set of all non zero divisors of R .

The study of intermediate rings between R and $Q(R)$ which are finitely generated R -module gives a lot of information on R ([1], [2]). In this paper, we describe the structure of these intermediate rings. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of all equivalent classes $[I]$, where I is an ideal of R such that $I^2 = aI$ for some non zero divisor $a \in I$ (see Lemma 2.3). Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the set of all intermediate rings between R and $Q(R)$ which are finitely generated R -modules.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Main Theorem. *There is a bijection φ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ given by $\varphi([I]) = \frac{I}{a}$, where $a \in I$ is a non zero divisor in R satisfying $I^2 = aI$.*

We also present some examples to clarify the result. Firstly, we prove that if R is a principal ideal domain, then R is the unique element of $\mathcal{Y}$. Secondly, by using the method of S. Goto [1] and An-Nhan-Thao [3], we give a Buchsbaum ring R which is not Cohen-Macaulay and we construct a Cohen-Macaulay intermediate ring $A \in \mathcal{Y}$.

2. Proof of the main result

For each intermediate ring A between R and $Q(R)$, it is clear that A has a natural structure as an R -module induced by the natural injection from R to A .

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be an ideal of R . Suppose that there exists $a \in I$ such that a is not a zero divisor in R and $aI = I^2$. Set*

$$\frac{I}{a} = \left\{ \frac{x}{a} \in Q(R) \mid x \in I \right\}.$$

Then $\frac{I}{a}$ is an intermediate ring between R and $Q(R)$ which is a finitely generated R -module.

Proof. In order to prove $\frac{I}{a}$ is a subring of $Q(R)$, we prove that $\frac{I}{a}$ is closed under the addition, the multiplication and $\frac{-1}{1} \in \frac{I}{a}$. Let $\frac{b}{a}, \frac{c}{a} \in \frac{I}{a}$, where $b, c \in I$. Since $b + c \in I$, we have

$$\frac{b}{a} + \frac{c}{a} = \frac{b+c}{a} \in \frac{I}{a}.$$

Because $aI = I^2$, there exists $d \in I$ such that $bc = ad$. Therefore,

$$\frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{c}{a} = \frac{bc}{a^2} = \frac{ad}{a^2} = \frac{d}{a} \in \frac{I}{a}.$$

It is clear that $\frac{-1}{1} = \frac{-a}{a} \in \frac{I}{a}$. Hence $\frac{I}{a}$ is a subring of $Q(R)$. Let $x \in R$. Then

$$x = \frac{x}{1} = \frac{ax}{a} \in \frac{I}{a}.$$

Thus, $\frac{I}{a}$ is an intermediate ring between R and $Q(R)$. Since R is a Noetherian ring, I is finitely generated. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in I$ such that

$$I = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)R.$$

It is clear that $\frac{I}{a} = \left(\frac{a_1}{a}, \frac{a_2}{a}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{a}\right)R$. Therefore, $\frac{I}{a}$ is a finitely generated R -module. $\square$

It should be mentioned that, with the assumption and notation as in Lemma 2.1, if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring and $a \in R \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ then a is a unit. Therefore, $I = R$. Since a is a unit, we have $\frac{R}{a} = R$. Thus, $\frac{I}{a} = \frac{R}{a} = R$.

From now on, we denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of all ideal I of R such that $I^2 = aI$ for some non zero divisor $a \in I$. Below we show that the intermediate ring $\frac{I}{a}$ defined in Lemma 2.1 does not depend on the choice of the non zero divisor $a \in I$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $a, b \in I$ be non zero divisors such that $I^2 = aI$, $I^2 = bI$. Then, in the total quotient ring $Q(R)$, the two intermediate rings $\frac{I}{a}$ and $\frac{I}{b}$ are the same.*

Proof. Let $\frac{x}{a} \in \frac{I}{a}$, where $x \in I$. We have $I^2 = aI$, there exists $y \in I$ such that $xb = ay$. Hence

$$\frac{x}{a} = \frac{xb}{ab} = \frac{ay}{ab} = \frac{y}{b} \in \frac{I}{b}.$$

Therefore, $\frac{I}{a} \subseteq \frac{I}{b}$. By the same arguments, we get the converse inclusion. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$, $a \in I, b \in J$ be non zero divisors such that $I^2 = aI, J^2 = bJ$. We define the relation on $\mathcal{I}$ as follows: I is related to J if and only if the two intermediate rings $\frac{I}{a}$ and $\frac{J}{b}$ between R and $Q(R)$ are the same. Then this relation is an equivalent relation on $\mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. Let $I, J, K \in \mathcal{I}$ and three elements $a \in I, b \in J, c \in K$ be non zero divisors such that

$$I^2 = aI, J^2 = bJ, K^2 = cK.$$

It is clear that $\frac{I}{a} = \frac{I}{a}$. So, I is related to I . Suppose that I is related to J . Then $\frac{I}{a} = \frac{J}{b}$. Hence $\frac{J}{b} = \frac{I}{a}$. Therefore, J is related to I . Suppose that I is related to J , and J is related to K . Then $\frac{I}{a} = \frac{J}{b}$ and $\frac{J}{b} = \frac{K}{c}$. Therefore, $\frac{I}{a} = \frac{K}{c}$, i.e. I is related to K . $\square$

From now on, for each ideal $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we denote by $[I]$ is the equivalent class of I with respect to the equivalent relation defined in Lemma 2.3. Set $\mathcal{X} = \{[I] \mid I \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be the set of all intermediate rings between R and $Q(R)$ which are finitely generated R -modules. The following theorem is the main result in this paper.

Theorem 2.4. *There is a bijection φ from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$ given by $\varphi([I]) = \frac{I}{a}$, where $a \in I$ is a non zero divisor in R satisfying $I^2 = aI$.*

Proof. It follows by Lemma 2.2 that φ is a map from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$. Moreover, the map φ is an injection by Lemma 2.3. Now we prove that φ is a surjection. Let $A \in \mathcal{Y}$. Since A is a subring of $Q(R)$ and A is a finitely generated R -module, we can write

$$A = \left(\frac{x_1}{a_1}, \frac{x_2}{a_2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{a_n} \right) R,$$

where $x_i \in R$ and all $a_i \in R$ are non zero divisors for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Put $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$. Then a is also a non zero divisor in R . Set

$$I = \left\{ x \in R \mid \frac{x}{a} \in A \right\}.$$

We prove that I is an ideal of R . Since A is a subring of $Q(R)$, we get $\frac{1}{1} \in A$. Hence $\frac{a}{a} \in A$. It follows that $a \in I$. Let $x, y \in I$. Then $\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{a} \in A$. Since A is a subring of $Q(R)$, we have $\frac{x}{a} - \frac{y}{a} = \frac{x-y}{a} \in A$. Hence $x - y \in I$. Let $x \in I$ and $r \in R$. Then $\frac{x}{a} \in A$. Note that A is an intermediate ring between R and $Q(R)$, we have $r = \frac{r}{1} \in A$ and hence $\frac{r}{1} \cdot \frac{x}{a} = \frac{rx}{a} \in A$. So, $rx \in I$. Therefore, I is an ideal of R .

We prove $I^2 = aI$. Since $a \in I$, we have $aI \subseteq I^2$. Let $x, y \in I$. Then $\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{a} \in A$. Hence $\frac{xy}{a^2} \in A$ as A is a subring of $Q(R)$. Since $A = \left(\frac{x_1}{a_1}, \frac{x_2}{a_2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{a_n} \right) R$, there exist $c_1, \dots, c_n \in R$ such that

$$\frac{xy}{a^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{a_i} c_i.$$

Set

$$z = a \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{a_i} c_i.$$

As $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$, it follows that $z \in R$. Hence, $\frac{z}{a} = \frac{xy}{a^2} \in A$. Hence $z \in I$. Hence $xy = az \in aI$. Therefore, $I^2 \subseteq aI$. Thus $I^2 = aI$.

Finally, we prove $\varphi([I]) = A$. We have $\varphi([I]) = \frac{I}{a} \subseteq A$ by the definition of I . Suppose that $\frac{c}{u} \in A$, where $c, u \in R$ and u is a non zero divisor. Then there exist $d_i \in R$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$\frac{c}{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{a_i} d_i.$$

As $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$, we can write

$$\frac{c}{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{a_i} d_i = \frac{x}{a}$$

for some $x \in R$. Since $\frac{c}{u} \in A$, we have $\frac{x}{a} \in A$. Hence $x \in I$ and hence $\frac{c}{u} = \frac{x}{a} \in \frac{I}{a}$. Therefore, $A \subseteq \frac{I}{a}$. Thus, $A = \frac{I}{a}$. $\square$

Now, we give examples to clarify the result.

Example 2.5. Let R be a principal ideal domain (for example $R = \mathbb{Z}$; $R = k[x]$; or $R = k[[x]]$ for some field k). Then R is the unique intermediate ring between R and $Q(R)$ which is a finitely generated module over R .

Proof. Let I be an ideal of R such that $I^2 = aI$ for some non zero divisor $a \in I$. Since every ideal of R is principal, there exists $b \in I$ such that $I = Rb$. Hence $Rb^2 = Rab$. Note that $a \neq 0$. Hence $I = Rb \neq 0$ and hence $b \neq 0$. As $a \in I = Rb$, we have that a is a multiple of b . Since $Rb^2 = Rab$, we have $b^2 = uab$ for some $u \in R$. Since $b \neq 0$ and R is a domain, we have $b = ua$, it means that b is multiple of a . Hence u is a unit. So

$$\frac{I}{a} = \frac{Rua}{a} = Ru = R.$$

Thus, the result follows by Theorem 2.4. $\square$

From now on, let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a Noetherian local ring. We say that M is a Cohen-Macaulay module if $\dim_R(M) = \text{depth}_R(M)$. Note that Cohen - Macaulay modules play an important role in many different fields of mathematics. The studying of intermediate rings A between R and $Q(R)$ such that A is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and A is a finitely generated R -module has been interested by many mathematicians ([1], [2], [3]). The following example describes such an intermediate Cohen- Macaulay ring.

Example 2.6. Let $S = k[[x, y, z, t, u, v]]$ be the formal power series ring of 6 variables over a field k . Let $R = \frac{S}{(x, y, z) \cap (t, u, v)}$. For $f \in S$, denote by $\bar{f}$ is the image of f in R . Let $a = \bar{x+t}$, $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y, z, t, u, v)R$, $I = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (aR :_R \mathfrak{m}^n)$. Set $A = \frac{I}{a}$. Then

(i) R is local with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$.

(ii) $a \in I$ is a non zero divisor in R and $aI = I^2$.

(iii) A is an intermediate Cohen-Macaulay ring between R and $Q(R)$, and A is a finitely generated R -module.

Proof. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \frac{S}{(x, y, z)S} \oplus \frac{S}{(t, u, v)S} \rightarrow \frac{S}{(x, y, z, t, u, v)S} \rightarrow 0$$

with notice that $\dim_R \frac{S}{(x,y,z)S} = 3$, $\text{depth}_R \frac{S}{(x,y,z)S} = 3$, $\dim_R \frac{S}{(t,u,v)S} = 3$, $\text{depth}_R \frac{S}{(t,u,v)S} = 3$, we have $H_m^0(R) = 0$, $H_m^2(R) = 0$ and $H_m^1(R) \cong \frac{S}{(x,y,z,t,u,v)S}$. Set

$$I(R) = \sup_{\underline{x}} \left(l(R/\underline{x}R) - e(\underline{x}, R) \right),$$

where $\underline{x}$ runs over all systems of parameters of R . Then we get by [5] that

$$I(R) = \sum_{i=0}^2 \binom{2}{i-1} l(H_m^i(R)) = 1.$$

Therefore, R is Buchsbaum (see [1]). We have $\text{Ann}_R H_m^1(R) = (x, y, z, t, u, v)R = \mathfrak{m}$. Note that $\text{Ass}(R) = \{(x, y, z)R, (t, u, v)R\}$. Hence $a \notin (x, y, z)R \cup (t, u, v)R = \text{NZD}(R)$. Choose $I = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (aR :_R \mathfrak{m}^n)$. Then I is an ideal of R and $a \in I$. We have $aI = I^2$ by [1]. Thus $A = \frac{I}{a}$ is an intermediate Cohen-Macaulay ring between R and $Q(R)$ and A is a finitely generated R -module (see [1]). $\square$

3. Conclusion

In this paper, we prove that there is a bijection from $\mathcal{X}$ to $\mathcal{Y}$, where $\mathcal{X}$ is the set of all equivalent classes $[I]$ with an ideal I of R such that $I^2 = aI$ for some $a \in \text{NZD}(R)$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ is the set of all intermediate rings A between R and $Q(R)$ such that A is a finitely generated R -module. Some examples are presented to make clear the result.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Goto, "On the CohenMacaulayfication of certain Buchsbaum rings," *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, vol. 80, pp. 107-116, 1980.
- [2] P. Schenzel, "On birational Macaulayfications and Cohen-Macaulay canonical modules," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 275, pp. 751-770, 2004.
- [3] T. N. An, L. T. Nhan, and L. P. Thao, "Non Cohen-Macaulay locus of canonical modules," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 525, pp. 435-453, 2019.
- [4] M. Brodmann and L. T. Nhan, "On canonical Cohen-Macaulay modules," *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 371, pp. 480-491, 2012.
- [5] N. V. Trung, "Toward a theory of generalized Cohen- Macaulay modules," *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, vol. 102, pp. 1-49, 1986.