

NEW RESULTS ON ROBUST STATE BOUNDING ESTIMATION FOR DISCRETE TIME MARKOVIAN JUMP STOCHASTIC CONTROL SYSTEMS

Nguyen Truong Thanh*, Nguyen Thu Hang, Pham Ngoc Anh

Hanoi University of Mining and Geology

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: 05/3/2021 Revised: 26/5/2021 Published: 27/5/2021	The paper deals with the robust state bounding estimation problem of stochastic control systems with discrete time Markovian jump. By using Lyapunov functional method and probability theory, we propose new sufficient conditions to guarantee robust state boundedness for the stochastic control systems. The conditions are derived in terms of linear matrix inequalities, which is simple and convenient for testing and application. Unfortunately, difficulties arise when one attempts to derive the sufficient conditions and to extract the controller parameters for these systems. In fact, we have to cope with stochastic process and disturbance. Indeed, the Lyapunov functional method is a powerful tool to stability analysis of differential systems. However, this method is not effectively applied for stochastic systems because we do not know how to construct suitable Lyapunov functions and use them in these systems. To overcome the difficulties, we first introduced basic concepts of probability theory. Next, a new sufficient conditions of robust state boundedness for unforced stochastic systems was established. Finally, the result was applied to design controllers to guarantee robust state boundedness for stochastic control systems.
KEYWORDS Markov chain Complete probability space Linear matrix inequality Discrete-time system Stochastic control	

MỘT VÀI KẾT QUẢ MỚI VỀ ƯỚC LƯỢNG TÍNH BỊ CHẶN BỀN VỮNG CỦA HỆ ĐIỀU KHIỂN NGẪU NHIÊN VỚI XÍCH MARKOVIAN RỜI RẠC

Nguyễn Trường Thanh*, Nguyễn Thu Hằng, Phạm Ngọc Anh

Trường Đại học Mỏ - Địa chất

THÔNG TIN BÀI BÁO	TÓM TẮT
Ngày nhận bài: 05/3/2021 Ngày hoàn thiện: 26/5/2021 Ngày đăng: 27/5/2021	Bài báo này ước lượng tính bị chặn bền vững của hệ điều khiển ngẫu nhiên với xích Markovian rời rạc. Bằng cách sử dụng phương pháp hàm Lyapunov và lý thuyết xác suất, chúng tôi đề xuất một số điều kiện đủ mới để đảm bảo tính bị chặn bền vững cho hệ điều khiển ngẫu nhiên. Các điều kiện trên là các bất đẳng thức ma trận tuyến tính có thể kiểm tra và dễ dàng sử dụng trong thực tế. Thật không may mắn, có nhiều khó khăn nảy sinh khi nghiên cứu các hệ này khi chúng ta phải đối mặt với các quá trình ngẫu nhiên và nhiễu không mong muốn. Thêm vào đó, phương pháp hàm Lyapunov là một công cụ đầy hiệu quả khi nghiên cứu tính ổn định của các hệ phương trình vi phân. Tuy nhiên, phương pháp này không hiệu quả khi áp dụng cho các hệ ngẫu nhiên do chúng ta không biết làm thế nào để cấu trúc các hàm Lyapunov phù hợp và làm thế nào để sử dụng các hàm này cho các quá trình ngẫu nhiên. Để vượt qua các khó khăn trên, đầu tiên, chúng tôi giới thiệu các khái niệm cơ bản của lý thuyết ngẫu nhiên. Tiếp đó, chúng tôi thiết lập một điều kiện đủ mới về tính bị chặn bền vững cho hệ ngẫu nhiên không có điều khiển. Cuối cùng, kết quả này được áp dụng cho việc thiết kế điều khiển cho hệ ngẫu nhiên có điều khiển.
TỪ KHÓA Xích Markov Không gian xác suất đầy đủ Bất đẳng thức ma trận tuyến tính Hệ rời rạc Điều khiển ngẫu nhiên	

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.34238/tnu-jst.4101>

* Corresponding author. Email: nguyentruongthanh@humg.edu.vn

1. Introduction

Markovian jump systems belong to a significant class of hybrid systems which are described by the switches between subsystems and a finite-state Markov chain according to a known Markov law. Discrete-time Markovian jump systems arise in many practical processes subjected to random abrupt changes in the inputs, internal variables [1]-[4]. Examples of such systems with Markov chain are solar thermal central receivers, economic systems and manufacturing systems. Therefore, Markovian jump systems have attracted a lot of attention in many applications of signal processing, control theory and communications because of their flexibility to model real world phenomena [5], [6].

In recent years, considerable interest has been focused on many important problems in systems and control theory of Markovian jump systems. Based on Lyapunov's second method, some sufficient conditions in terms of linear matrix inequalities for following problems are proposed as mean square stability of linear discrete-time systems [7], state bounding problem [8], [9], stabilisation for Markovian jump systems with state and input delays [10], and H_∞ control problems for linear Markovian jump systems with mode-dependent/independent delays [11] etc.

Besides, disturbances is inherent characteristic of many physical systems and ubiquitous in dynamic systems. These disturbances are the unavoidable source of instability and poor performance. Hence, the problem of state bounding for Markovian jump systems with disturbances is a key topic in control engineering. However, to the best knowledge of authors, there are very few results on the problem for stochastic control system with disturbance input and discrete-time Markovian chain. This has motivated our research.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents definitions and some well-known technical lemmas needed for the proof of the main results. A main result for designing controllers to ensure γ -mean square boundedness is presented in Section 3. The paper ends with conclusions.

2. Preliminaries and problem statement

The following notations will be used throughout this paper: N denotes the set of all non-negative integer numbers R^+ stands for the set of all non-negative real numbers; R^n denotes the n -dimensional space with the scalar product $(x, y) = x^T y$ and the vector norm $|x| = \sqrt{x^T x}$; A^T denotes the transpose of the matrix A and I_n denotes the identity matrix in R^n ; $\lambda_{\max}(A)$, $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ stand for the maximal and the minimal real part of eigenvalues of A , respectively; $Q > 0$ means that Q is positive definite, i.e., $x^T Q x > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$; $A > B$ means $A - B > 0$; $E[\cdot]$ is the expectation operator with respect to some complete probability space (Ω, F, P) . The symmetric terms in a matrix are denoted by $*$.

Consider the discrete time stochastic control system described by the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} x(k+1) = A(r_k)x(k) + B(r_k)w(k) + C(r_k)u_k(k), & k \in N, \\ x(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where $x(k) \in R^n$ is the state vector; $u_k(k)$ is the control; $w(k) \in R^s$ is the disturbance input; $\{r_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be a discrete Markov chain with state space $M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. The transition probabilities of $\{r_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ are given by $P(r_{k+1} = j | r_k = i) = p_{ij}$, where $p_{ij} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m p_{ij} = 1, \forall i, j \in M$.

$$[A(r_k), B(r_k), C(r_k)]$$

are system matrices of appropriate dimensions in the finite set of

$\{[A_i, B_i, C_i], i \in M\}$ with $A_i := A(i), B_i := B(i), C_i := C(i), \forall i \in M$.

The disturbance $w(k)$ satisfies the condition

$$\exists b > 0: \|w(k)\|^2 \leq b, \forall k \in N. \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 2.1. ([8]) For a given $\gamma > 0$, system (2.1) is said to be γ -mean square bounded if every trajectory $x(k)$ of (2.1) satisfies

$$E[\|x(k)\|^2 | F_0] \leq \gamma, \forall k \in N,$$

where $F_0 = \sigma\{x(0), r_0\}$ be the σ -algebra generated by $(x(0) = 0, r_0)$.

Lemma 2.1. ([12]) Give constant matrices $Y = Y^T > 0$ and X, Z . Then

$$X + Z^T Y^{-1} Z < 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} X & Z^T \\ Z & -Y \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

3. Main results

In this section, we will give sufficient conditions of γ -mean square boundedness for (2.1). We first establish a sufficient condition for unforced system (2.1). Then, we design controllers based on LMIs to guarantee γ -mean square boundedness for (2.1).

Let us set

$$\bar{Q}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m Q_j p_{ij}, \quad Q_j = Q(j), \quad \forall i, j \in M;$$

$$\beta = \lambda_{\min}(Q) \frac{\gamma(1-\alpha)}{b}.$$

Theorem 3.1. Give $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and a symmetric positive definite matrix Q . If there exist symmetric positive definite matrices $Q_i, i \in M$, satisfying the following LMI conditions for all $i \in M$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_i^T \bar{Q}_i A_i - \alpha Q_i & A_i^T \bar{Q}_i B_i \\ * & B_i^T \bar{Q}_i B_i - \beta I_s \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$Q_i \geq Q, \quad \forall i \in M, \quad (3.2)$$

then unforced system (2.1) is γ -mean square bounded.

Proof. Consider the following function

$$V(x(k), r_k) = x(k)^T Q(r_k) x(k), \quad \forall k \in N.$$

Suppose that $r_k = i$. Then, at time $k+1$, the mode $r_{k+1} = j$ with probability p_{ij} .

Firstly, we estimate

$$E[V(x(k+1), r_{k+1}) | x(k), r_k]$$

as follows. It is easy to see that if we fix $x(k)$ and $r_k = i$, the value of

$$x(k+1) = A_i x(k) + B_i w(k)$$

is only dependent on $(x(k), w(k))$. Then

$$E[V(x(k+1), r_{k+1}) | x(k), r_k = i]$$

is dependent on r_{k+1} . It leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
E[V(x(k+1), r_{k+1}) | x(k), r_k = i] &= E[x(k+1)^T Q(r_{k+1}) x(k+1) | x(k), r_k = i] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^m x(k+1)^T Q_j x(k+1) P(r_{k+1} = j | r_k = i) = \sum_{j=1}^m x(k+1)^T Q_j x(k+1) p_{ij} = x(k+1)^T \bar{Q}_i x(k+1) \\
&= [A_i x(k) + B_i w(k)]^T \bar{Q}_i [A_i x(k) + B_i w(k)] - \alpha x(k)^T Q_i x(k) + \alpha V(x(k), r_k = i) \\
&= [x(k), w(k)]^T \begin{bmatrix} A_i^T \bar{Q}_i A_i - \alpha P_i & A_i^T \bar{Q}_i B_i \\ * & B_i^T \bar{Q}_i B_i - \beta I_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ w(k) \end{bmatrix} + \beta \|w(k)\|^2 + \alpha V(x(k), r_k = i).
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.1), we have

$$E[V(x(k+1), r_{k+1}) | x(k), r_k = i] \leq \beta \|w(k)\|^2 + \alpha V(x(k), r_k = i), \quad \forall i \in M.$$

Hence, because of (2.2), we obtain

$$E[V(x(k+1), r_{k+1}) | x(k), r_k] \leq \beta b + \alpha V(x(k), r_k). \quad (3.3)$$

Next, we use the inequality (3.3) to evaluate

$$E[V(x(k), r_k) | x(0), r_0], \quad k \in N.$$

From (3.3), we have the followings.

For $k = 0$,

$$E[V(x(1), r_1) | x(0), r_0] \leq \beta b + \alpha V(x(0), r_0) = \beta b + \alpha x(0)^T Q(r_0) x(0) = \beta b. \quad (3.4)$$

For $k = 1$,

$$E[V(x(2), r_2) | x(1), r_1] \leq \beta b + \alpha V(x(1), r_1).$$

Consequently,

$$E[V(x(2), r_2) | x(1), r_1] | (x(0), r_0) \leq \beta b + \alpha V(x(1), r_1) | (x(0), r_0).$$

Taking expectation both sides of the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[V(x(2), r_2) | x(0), r_0] &= E(E[V(x(2), r_2) | x(1), r_1] | (x(0), r_0)) \\
&\leq \beta b + \alpha E(V(x(1), r_1) | (x(0), r_0)) \leq \beta b + \alpha \beta b = \beta b(1 + \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$E[V(x(k), r_k) | x(0), r_0] \leq \beta b(1 + \alpha + \dots + \alpha^{k-1}) = \beta b \frac{1 - \alpha^{k-1}}{1 - \alpha}, \quad \forall k \in N.$$

Hence,

$$E[V(x(k), r_k) | F_0] = E[V(x(k), r_k) | x(0), r_0] \leq \frac{\beta b}{1 - \alpha}, \quad \forall k \in N. \quad (3.5)$$

Since the condition (3.2), the following inequality holds

$$V(x(k), r_k = i) = x(k)^T Q_i x(k) \geq x(k)^T Q x(k) \geq \lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x(k)\|^2.$$

Moreover,

$$V(x(k), r_k) \geq \lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x(k)\|^2, \quad \forall k \in N. \quad (3.6)$$

Using (3.6) and the monotonicity of the operator $E[\cdot]$, we have

$$E[V(x(k), r_k) | F_0] \geq E[\lambda_{\min}(Q) \|x(k)\|^2 | F_0] = \lambda_{\min}(Q) E[\|x(k)\|^2 | F_0]. \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.7), we obtain

$$E[\|x(k)\|^2 | F_0] \leq \frac{\beta b}{1 - \alpha} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(Q)} = \gamma,$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

The remaining of this section is to design controllers in the form of $u_{r_k}(k) = L_{r_k} x(k)$ such that the system (2.1) is γ -mean square bounded.

Let us denote

$$\bar{Q}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m Q_j p_{ij}, \quad Q_j = Q(j), \quad \forall i, j \in M;$$

$$\Omega_{11} = A_i^T \bar{Q}_i A_i + A_i^T K_i C_i + [K_i C_i]^T A_i - \alpha Q_i;$$

$$\Omega_{12} = A_i^T \bar{Q}_i B + C_i^T K_i B_i; \quad \Omega_{22} = B_i^T \bar{Q}_i B_i - \beta I.$$

Theorem 3.2. Given $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and a symmetric positive definite matrix Q . If there exist symmetric positive definite matrices Q_i , $i \in M$, and matrices K_i , $i \in M$, satisfying the following LMI conditions for all $i \in M$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{11} & \Omega_{12} & [K_i C_i]^T \\ * & \Omega_{22} & 0 \\ * & * & -\lambda_{\min}(Q)I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$Q_i \geq Q, \quad (3.9)$$

then system (2.1) is γ -mean square bounded with the controller.

$$u_i(k) = [\bar{Q}_i]^{-1} K_i x(k).$$

Proof.

From (3.2) and

$$\bar{Q}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m Q_j p_{ij}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m p_{ij} = 1, \quad p_{ij} \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\bar{Q}_i = \sum_{j=1}^m Q_j p_{ij} \geq \sum_{j=1}^m Q p_{ij} = Q \geq \lambda_{\min}(Q)I > 0,$$

it leads to $\lambda_{\min}(\bar{Q}_i) \geq \lambda_{\min}(Q) > 0$.

Consequently,

$$[\bar{Q}_i]^{-1} \leq \lambda_{\max}([\bar{Q}_i]^{-1})I = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(\bar{Q}_i)}I \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(Q)}I.$$

Use the above inequality and (3.8) and Schur complement lemma (Lemma 2.1) with

$$L_i = [\bar{Q}_i]^{-1} K_i, \quad i \in M,$$

we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} [A_i + L_i C_i]^T \bar{Q}_i [A_i + L_i C_i] - \alpha Q_i & [A_i + L_i C_i]^T \bar{Q}_i B_i \\ * & B_i^T \bar{Q}_i B_i - \beta I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{11} + [K_i C_i]^T [\bar{Q}_i]^{-1} K_i C & \Omega_{12} \\ * & \Omega_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.10)$$

$$\leq \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_{11} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(Q)} [K_i C_i]^T K_i C & \Omega_{12} \\ * & \Omega_{22} \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad \forall i \in M.$$

From (3.9) and (3.10), using Theorem 3.1, system (2.1) is γ -mean square bounded. This completes the proof of the theorem.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the robust state bounding estimation problem of stochastic control systems with discrete time Markovian jump. The proposed analytical tools used in the proof are based on Lyapunov functional method. The sufficient conditions for γ -mean square boundedness have been established in terms of LMIs.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which allowed them to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Krasovskii and E. Lidskii, "Analysis Design of Controller in Systems with Random Attributes – Part 1," *Automation and Remote Control*, vol. 22, pp. 1021-1025, 1961.
- [2] Y. Ji and H. Chizeck, "Controllability, Stabilizability, and Continuous-time Markovian Jump Linear Quadratic Control," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 35, pp. 777-788, 1990.
- [3] M. D. S. Aliyu and E. K. Boukas, "Robust H_∞ control for Markovian jump nonlinear systems," *IMA J. Math. Control Inf.*, vol. 17, pp. 295-308, 2000.
- [4] N. T. Dzung and L. V. Hien, "Stochastic Stabilization of Discrete-Time Markov Jump Systems with Generalized Delay and Deficient Transition Rates," *Circuits, Systems, and Signal Processing*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 2521-2541, 2017.
- [5] O. L. V. Costa, M. D. Fragoso, and R. P. Marques, *Discrete-Time Markov Jump Linear Systems*. London: Springer, 2005.
- [6] H. Shen, J. H. Park, L. Zhang, and Z. G. Wu, "Robust extended dissipative control for sampled- data Markov jump systems," *Int. J. Control*, vol. 87, pp. 1549-1564, 2014.
- [7] C. Z. Souza, "Robust stability and stabilization of uncertain discrete-time Markovian jump linear systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 51, pp. 836-841, 2006.
- [8] L. V. Hien, N. T. Dzung, and H. B. Minh, "A novel approach to state bounding for discrete-time Markovian jump systems with interval time-varying delay," *IMA Journal of Mathematical Control and Information*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 293-307, 2016.
- [9] L. V. Hien, N. T. Dzung, and H. Trinh, "Stochastic stability of nonlinear discrete-time Markovian jump systems with time-varying delay and partially unknown transition rates," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 175, pp. 450-458, 2016.
- [10] B. Chen, H. Li, P. Shi, C. Lin, and Q. Zhou, "Delay-dependent Stability Analysis and Controller Synthesis for Markovian Jump Systems with State and Input Delays," *Information Sciences*, vol. 179, pp. 2851-2860, 2009.
- [11] E. K. Boukas and Z. K. Liu, "Robust H_∞ control of discrete-time Markovian jump linear systems with mode-dependent time-delay," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 46, pp. 1918-1924, 2001.
- [12] S. Boyd, E. L. Ghaoui, E. Feron, and V. Balakrishnan, *Linear Matrix Inequalities in System and Control Theory*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1994.